

07 December 2011

Dear Rene Grotenhuis,

We are writing to you as members of the Independent Review Panel of the INGO Accountability Charter, in order to give you feedback on the Report which you submitted in time for the reporting deadline in September 2011.

We would like first of all to thank you for your participation in this exercise and to recognise the commitment to accountability that this demonstrates.

Our approach to assessing the reports which we have received has been to focus on three dimensions in particular:

- How **complete** is the report in relation to the guidelines used?
- How strong is the evidence given for the self-assessment that each organisation has conducted?
- What evidence is there of **institutional commitment** to greater accountability and to using the reporting process to advance it?

On **completeness**, we want to recognise the demanding nature of many of GRI's requirements. Many organisations find it difficult to respond to some of the more detailed requests for information. We attach a note by the Secretariat that goes through the shortfalls against the reporting template in detail. While you may find this of value, we should like to emphasise that we do not consider that, at least at this stage of the exercise, it is essential to meet every element of the template – which we recognise may in some cases be overly demanding, particularly for smaller institutions. We have however noted below areas where we felt that your organisation might wish to invest more attention in your next report.

On **evidence**, we looked in particular for references not only to relevant policy documents, but also to examples where the self-assessment was supported by specific action (for example, drawn from operational activities, whether successful or unsuccessful).

On **institutional commitment**, we looked for evidence of top-level ownership of the report (for example an opening statement signed by the Chief Executive); of using the report as a means of identifying areas of relative strengths and weaknesses in the organisation (as opposed to a box-ticking exercise); and of a systematic concern with accountability, including recognition of areas for further work. We would hope that progress in such areas would be high-lighted in future reports.

Please note that as a Panel we feel that part of our role is to encourage improved reporting. To that end we are enclosing for your information some examples of what seemed to us Good Practice in responding to some individual indicators, based on the GRI framework. This document consists of examples from all reports reviewed in 2011.



## Organisation-specific feedback to CORDAID

We are aware that all Charter Member Organisations still have the option to use the Interim Reporting Framework in 2011 and within the limitations of this framework you have done well. The full year financial statement in the report is appreciated, as this provides us with a good idea of your organisation. We look forward to your next report using the GRI NGO Sector Supplement. We are aware that starting to report with this tool is a big step for many organisations, however we encourage you to go through this learning process. If you need support, please do not hesitate to contact the Charter Secretariat or have a look under "Support for Members" on the Charter website. To give you some guidance for your next report, we also attach the Good Practice examples that we have compiled for some of the GRI indicators.

Our intention is that this letter, and any response that you may wish to provide, should be made publicly available on the Charter website, along with your organisation's report. You can find the reports that were reviewed in the beginning of this year on the Charter website under the section Charter Members/Member Reports. However, should there be errors of fact in the feedback above, we would of course wish to correct these before publication.

Should you have any comments we would appreciate a response by 16 January 2012.

Yours sincerely,

Janet Hunt

Janet E. Hem

Wambui Kimathi

Richard Manning

Gavin Neath